Radiation Flux and Spectrum in the Vaidya Collapse Model

T. P. Singh¹

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India.

Cenalo Vaz²

Unidade de Ciencias Exactas e Humanas Universidade do Algarve, Faro, Portugal

Abstract

We consider the quantization of a massless scalar field, using the geometric optics approximation, in the background spacetime of a collapsing spherical self-similar Vaidya star, which forms a black hole or a naked singularity. We show that the outgoing radiation flux of the quantized scalar field diverges on the Cauchy horizon. The spectrum of the produced scalar partcles is non-thermal when the background develops a naked singularity. These results are analogous to those obtained for the scalar quantization on a self-similar dust cloud.

1 Introduction

If the classical gravitational collapse of a star ends in a naked singularity, then it is of interest to know how the quantum evaporation of such a star (via particle creation) compares with the quantum evaporation (via Hawking radiation) of another star that ends as a black hole. A few studies have been carried out in recent years, with an attempt to answer this question. These studies can be traced back to the pioneering works of Ford and Parker [1], and of Hiscock et al. [2].

Ford and Parker studied the outgoing quantum flux of a massless scalar field, using the geometric optics approximation, in the background geometry of a classical, spherical dust star forming a shell-crossing naked singularity. They found that this flux does not diverge in the approach to the Cauchy horizon. Their method was used by Barve et al. [3] to calculate

¹ e-mail address: tpsingh@tifr.res.in

² e-mail address: cvaz@ualg.pt

the quantum flux in the geometry of a spherical self-similar dust cloud which develops a shell-focusing naked singularity - in this model the flux diverges on the Cauchy horizon, in a positive sense.

Hiscock et al. studied the outgoing flux in the self-similar Vaidya model by obtaining the stress-energy tensor in the effective 2-d Vaidya background from the trace anomaly and the conservation equations. This calculation is in the same spirit as the geometric optics approximation in the sense that only the lowest angular momentum modes are accounted for. However, it has the advantage of yielding the components of the stress tensor everywhere in the spacetime and not just asymptotically. Once again they obtained a divergent flux on the Cauchy horizon. Barve et al. [4] repeated their analysis for the self-similar dust collapse model and obtained similar results.

A new facet was added to these studies by Vaz and Witten [5], who demonstrated how one could calculate the spectrum of the outgoing radiation, in the approach to the Cauchy horizon, for the self-similar dust model. The spectrum was shown to be non-thermal, and this is a characteristic feature distinguishing the evaporation of naked singularities from thermal black hole evaporation. A detailed justification on the use of Bogoliubov transformations in the presence of a Cauchy horizon has been given by us recently [6].

In the present paper, we apply the geometric optics approximation to the quantization of a massless scalar field in the background of a spherical collapsing self-similar Vaidya star. Such a star results in black hole formation for part of the initial data, and a naked singularity for the remaining initial data. In this approximation, we calculate the flux of the scalar field emitted to infinity, and the spectrum of the emitted radiation. The essential quantity needed for these calculations is the map from an ingoing null ray to an outgoing null ray which passes through the center of the collapsing cloud. This map is found in Section 2. In Section 3 we show that the flux diverges on the Cauchy horizon, and that the spectrum of the radiation is non-thermal.

These results are identical to those that have been found earlier for the model of self-

similar dust collapse [3], [5]. They provide additional evidence for the conjecture that the divergence of the outgoing flux on the Cauchy horizon and a non-thermal radiation spectrum are generic features of naked singularities.

2 The Classical Solution

In this Section, we find the mapping from an ingoing null ray to an outgoing null ray, for those initial conditions which result in a naked singularity or black hole, in the self-similar Vaidya model. The metric is given by

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2m(v)}{R}\right)dv^{2} - 2dvdR - R^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

where v is the advanced time coordinate $(-\infty < v < \infty)$ and R is the area radius $(0 \le R < \infty)$. The mass function is zero for v < 0, it is $m(v) = \mu v$ for $0 < v < v_0$, and equal to a constant $M = \mu v_0$ for $v \ge v_0$. This represents a collapsing ball of null dust bounded in the region $0 < v \le v_0$. The linearity of the mass function ensures that this Vaidya spacetime is self-similar, i.e. it possesses a homothetic Killing vector field. Clearly, spacetime is Minkowski for v < 0, and here the metric may be written as

$$ds^2 = dUdv - R^2 d\Omega^2 \tag{2}$$

where U=v-2R is the retarded time coordinate. Outside the Vaidya region, the geometry is Schwarzschild, and in terms of the Eddington-Finkelstein null coordinates, is given by

$$ds^{2} = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{R}\right)d\hat{U}dv - R^{2}d\Omega^{2},\tag{3}$$

with $\hat{U} = v - 2R^*$, and $R^* = R + 2M \ln (R/2M - 1)$.

We now obtain double null coordinates in the Vaidya region, by first defining x = v/R and $z = \ln R$, so that the metric (1) becomes

$$ds^{2} = \exp(2z) \left[A(x)dx^{2} + B(x)dz^{2} + 2C(x)dxdz \right].$$
 (4)

Here,

$$A(x) = 1 - 2\mu x$$
, $B(x) = (1 - 2\mu x) x^2 - 2x$, $C(x) = (1 - 2\mu x) x - 1$. (5)

Next, we define

$$d\tau = dz + \frac{C(x)}{B(x)}dx,\tag{6}$$

and

$$d\chi = -\left[\frac{C^2(x) - A(x)B(x)}{B^2(x)}\right]^{1/2} dx,$$
(7)

so that the metric can be written as

$$ds^{2} = \exp(2z) B(x) \left[d\tau^{2} - d\chi^{2} \right]. \tag{8}$$

We define the double-null coordinates

$$u = \exp(\tau - \chi), \qquad v = \exp(\tau + \chi),$$
 (9)

so that

$$ds^2 = \frac{\exp(2z) B(x)}{uv} du dv. \tag{10}$$

By using the identity $C^2(x) - A(x)B(x) = 1$, the null coordinates may be written as

$$u = r \exp I_+, \qquad v = r \exp I_- \tag{11}$$

where

$$I_{\pm} = \int \frac{C(x) \pm 1}{B(x)} dx. \tag{12}$$

It is easily shown that $I_{-} = \ln x$, so that v is trivially the same as the advanced time coordinate introduced in the beginning. We have chosen to write the null coordinates in this manner essentially to show that they can be constructed in a symmetric fashion. The integral I_{+} can also be easily carried out, and we get

$$u = R (x - \alpha_{+})^{A_{+}} (x - \alpha_{-})^{A_{-}} , \qquad (13)$$

where

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \frac{1 \pm (1 - 16\mu)^{1/2}}{4\mu} \tag{14}$$

are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$x^2 - \frac{x}{2\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} = 0, (15)$$

and the coefficients A_+ and A_- are given by

$$A_{+} = -\frac{1 - 2\mu\alpha_{+}}{\alpha_{+} - \alpha_{-}}, \qquad A_{-} = -\frac{1 - 2\mu\alpha_{-}}{\alpha_{-} - \alpha_{+}}.$$
 (16)

We note that $A_{+} + A_{-} = 1$. Also, the ingoing null coordinate used by Hiscock et al. [2] is obtained by taking the logarithm of the coordinate u defined in (13).

The flat spacetime limit of the Vaidya metric is obtained by setting $\mu = 0$. In this limit, v = t + R, and it is easily shown that in this limit the coordinate u reduces to t - R.

The roots α_{\pm} are real for $\mu \leq 1/16$ and complex for $\mu > 1/16$. We note that when the roots are complex, u continues to be real, and can be written as

$$u = R|x - \alpha_{+}|^{2} \exp(-2\xi Im A_{+})$$
(17)

where ξ is the phase of α_+ .

As is known, the collapse of the cloud results in the formation of a curvature singularity, when the area radius of a shell shrinks to zero. The Kretschmann scalar is given by

$$R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{48m^2(v)}{R^6} = 48\mu^2 x^6 v^{-4}.$$
 (18)

The central singularity forms when the radius of the innermost shell, given by v=0, becomes zero. The curvature diverges when the center R=0 is approached along the line v=0, with x=v/R a finite non-zero constant. It is known [2] that this singularity is naked for $\mu \leq 1/16$ and covered for $\mu > 1/16$. The singularity corresponding to all shells with v>0 is covered.

The nature of the central singularity, i.e. as to whether it is naked or not, can also be deduced from the construction of the double null coordinates given above. We note from (13) that for v < 0 the center (i.e. R = 0) is given by the line u = v. Let us assume that the

singular point v = 0, R = 0 is approached along the line $x = x_0$, with x_0 a finite, non-zero constant. Then, from (17) we see that the ratio u/v is given by

$$\frac{u}{v} = d = \frac{|x_0 - \alpha_+|^2 \exp(-2\xi Im A_+)}{x_0} \tag{19}$$

with d in general not equal to one. The singular point v = 0, R = 0 is thus the intersection of two lines, u = v and u = dv. Equivalently, it is given by u = v = 0.

If the central singularity is naked, there will be at least one outgoing null ray from the singularity. This means that such a ray, for which u=0, has $R\neq 0$. From (13) we see that this is possible if and only if $x=\alpha_+$ or $x=\alpha_-$, with α_\pm real. The existence of a real root is necessary for the central singularity to be naked, implying that $\mu\leq 1/16$. The Cauchy horizon, which is the first null ray to leave the singularity, is given by $x=\alpha_-$, where α_- is the smaller of the two roots. The Eddington-Finkelstein null coordinate \hat{U} corresponding to this ray is found by noting that when the ray meets the boundary v_0 of the star, $R=v_0/\alpha_-$. Using this value of R in the definition of \hat{U} we get

$$\hat{U} = v_0 - \frac{2v_0}{\alpha_-} - 4M \ln \left| \frac{v_0}{2M\alpha_-} - 1 \right|. \tag{20}$$

This value is not infinite, which shows that the singularity is globally naked.

We can now work out the map $\mathcal{F}(v)$ between an ingoing null ray and the outgoing null ray to which it corresponds, i.e. we can find the function $\hat{U} = \mathcal{F}(v)$, for both the black hole case and the naked singularity case. In the naked case, we do this for outgoing rays with a value of u close to zero. Consider a null ray, incoming from \mathcal{T}^- , given by v = constant, with v < 0. After passing through the center, this becomes an outgoing ray u, with u = v. For u nearly zero, x is close to α_- , so we write $x = \alpha_- + \hat{x}$. From (13) we can write

$$u = BR\hat{x}^{A_{-}}, \qquad B = (\alpha_{-} - \alpha_{+})^{A_{+}}.$$
 (21)

By noting that

$$R = \frac{v_0}{\alpha_- + \hat{x}} \simeq \frac{v_0}{\alpha_-} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{x}}{\alpha_-} \right) \tag{22}$$

and by using this relation in (21) we get

$$\hat{x} = \left(\frac{\alpha_{-}}{Bv_{0}}\right)^{1/A_{-}} u^{1/A_{-}}.$$
(23)

Using these expressions for R and \hat{x} in the definition of \hat{U} we can conclude that

$$\hat{U} = \hat{U}^0 - Q v^{1/A_-} = \mathcal{F}(v) \tag{24}$$

where \hat{U}^0 is the Cauchy horizon, and Q is an (irrelevant) constant. This is the desired map in the naked singularity case. The inverse function, $v = \mathcal{G}(\hat{U})$ is given by

$$v = \mathcal{G}(\hat{U}) = \left(\frac{\hat{U}^0 - \hat{U}}{Q}\right)^{A_-}.$$
 (25)

In order to find the function $\mathcal{F}(v)$ for the case in which the collapse ends in a black hole (i.e. $\mu > 1/16$), we examine rays which arrive at \mathcal{I}^+ just before the event horizon. The event horizon is the outgoing ray which reaches the boundary v_0 when the boundary has an area radius R = 2M, which implies that $x = v_0/2M$ for such a ray. By writing $x = \hat{x} + v_0/2M$, we easily note that to leading order $\hat{U} = -4M \ln \hat{x}$ for such a ray. Further, since \hat{x} is small, u is of the form $u = a + b\hat{x}$, and using u = v for a ray that goes through the center, we can conclude that

$$\hat{U} = -4M \ln \left(\frac{v-a}{b}\right) = \mathcal{F}(v) \tag{26}$$

which is the map in the black hole case. The inverse function, $v = \mathcal{G}(\hat{U})$ is now given by

$$v = \mathcal{G}(\hat{U}) = a + be^{-\hat{U}/4M}.$$
(27)

3 The Quantum Flux

We consider next the quantization of a massless scalar field $\phi(x)$ in the background geometry of the collapsing Vaidya cloud. We will calculate the flux of the energy radiated to \mathcal{I}^+ , and the spectrum of the emitted radiation, in the geometric optics approximation. The flux radiated to infinity is given by the off-diagonal component of the stress-energy tensor of the massless scalar field, as [1]

$$P = \int_{M} \langle 0|T_{T}^{R}|0\rangle_{M}R^{2}\sin\theta d\theta d\phi = \frac{1}{24\pi} \left[\frac{\mathcal{F}'''}{(\mathcal{F}')^{3}} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{F}''}{\mathcal{F}'^{2}} \right)^{2} \right]$$
(28)

where the function $\mathcal{F}(v)$ has been calculated above. $|0\rangle_M$ is the Minkowski vacuum. For the naked singularity case we substitute the expression (24) for $\mathcal{F}(v)$ and find the radiated power to be

$$P(v) = \frac{1}{48\pi Q^2} \left[\frac{A_-^2 - 1}{v^{2/A_-}} \right]. \tag{29}$$

We note that A_{-} is greater than one. Hence this expression diverges, in a positive sense, in the approach to the Cauchy horizon, i.e. as $v \to 0$.

In the black hole case we use the $\mathcal{F}(v)$ from (26) in the expression for the radiated power, to get

$$P(v) = \frac{1}{48\pi M^2} \tag{30}$$

as expected.

In order to calculate the spectrum we recall that the number distribution of Minkowski particles observed on \mathcal{I}^+ is simply given by the Bogoliubov coefficient

$$\beta(\omega', \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\hat{U}}{4\pi\sqrt{\omega\omega'}} e^{-i\omega\hat{U}} e^{-i\omega'\mathcal{G}(\hat{U})}$$
(31)

(where the integral is performed over all of \mathcal{I}^+) as

$$_{M}\langle 0|N(\omega)|0\rangle_{M} = \int_{0}^{\infty}d\omega'|\beta(\omega',\omega)|^{2}$$
 (32)

where $|0\rangle_M$ is the Minkowski vacuum.

Substituting for $\mathcal{G}(\hat{U})$ from (27) for the black hole case gives

$$|\beta(\omega',\omega)|^2 = \frac{2M}{\pi\omega'} \frac{1}{e^{8\pi M\omega} - 1}$$
(33)

which is the expected Hawking spectrum.

On the other hand, for the naked singularity case, we take the $\mathcal{G}(\hat{U})$ from (25) to get

$$\beta(\omega', \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{U}^o} d\hat{U} e^{-i\omega\hat{U}} e^{i\omega'Q^{-A_-}(\hat{U}^o - \hat{U})^{A_-}}.$$
 (34)

Changing variables to $z = (\hat{U}^o - \hat{U})$, one has

$$\beta(\omega', \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega'}} e^{-i\omega\hat{U}^o} \int_0^\infty dz e^{i\omega z} e^{i\omega'(z/Q)^{A_-}}$$
(35)

which gives

$$|\beta(\omega',\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\omega\omega'} |\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iQ^{-A_-}\omega'\omega^{-A_-}e^{i\pi A_-/2})^k}{k!} \Gamma(kA_- + 1)|^2.$$
 (36)

This is a non-thermal spectrum, similar to the spectrum in the case of self-similar dust collapse [5].

4 Conclusion

The divergence of the radiated power on the Cauchy horizon has been shown by us [7] to be independent of the assumption of self-similarity. The non-thermality of the spectrum is due to the fact that not all of \mathcal{I}^+ is actually probed by infalling waves from \mathcal{I}^- . We have, of course, implicitly assumed that all of \mathcal{I}^+ exists, i.e., that spacetime may be analytically continued beyond the Cauchy horizon. This assumption is reasonable in that one does not expect a local collapse to terminate the universe. However, only a complete theory of quantum gravity can provide the answer to the question of the existence of a complete \mathcal{I}^+ , as this depends on the final fate of the collapse. Therefore it is interesting to consider what might happen if the continuation beyond the Cauchy horizon is not physically acceptable. If the Cauchy horizon is to be regarded as the end point of spacetime, then \hat{U} is not a good asymptotic coordinate. However, one can transform to an asymptotically flat retarded time and it can be shown [6] that the radiation is thermal, but with a temperature that is different from the Hawking temperature. This is analogous to the marginally naked singularity treated by Hiscock et al. [2].

References

- [1] L. H. Ford and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D17** (1987) 1485.
- [2] W. A. Hiscock, L. G. Williams and D. M. Eardley, Phys. Rev. **D26** (1982) 751.
- [3] S. Barve, T. P. Singh, Cenalo Vaz and Louis Witten, Nuclear Physics B532, 361 (1998); gr-qc/9802035.
- [4] S. Barve, T. P. Singh, Cenalo Vaz and Louis Witten, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 104018 (1998), gr-qc/9805095.
- [5] Cenalo Vaz and Louis Witten, Phys. Lett. **B 442** (1998) 90, gr-qc/9804001.
- [6] T. P. Singh and Cenalo Vaz, gr-qc/9912063, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
- [7] Sukratu Barve, T. P. Singh and Cenalo Vaz, gr-qc/0001085.